

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,668	01/29/2004	Julian S. Crawford	033583.00007 5426	
75	90 04/05/2006		EXAMINER	
McNair Law Firm, P.A.			GRAY, JILL M	
P.O. Box 10827				
Greenville, SC 29603			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1774	
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(\(\(\)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Cummon.	10/767,668	CRAWFORD ET A	L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jill M. Gray	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ja	nuary 2006.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
	·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,10 and 22</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,10 and 22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a ala akkan na an kanana a k					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			` '			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National S	Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Coo the attached detailed office action for a list of	or the domined dopies flot receive	u .				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Distantion Summer	(DTO 412)				
Notice of References Cited (P10-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO	-152)			
. 3501 10(0)/11011 0010	<u> </u>					

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 10, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeAngelis et al, 6,855,421 B2 (DeAngelis) in view of Patel et al, 6,528,572 B1 and Shibuta 6,184,280 B1.

DeAngelis teaches a yarn comprising a primary component and a secondary component, the primary component comprising a core yarn that can be monofilaments or multifilaments, i.e., at least one set elongated filament, and said secondary component can be unset and is a blend of a single polymeric material and electrical conductors such as conductive fibers that can be formed from carbon, whereby said secondary component is bonded with said primary component along its length, per claims 1, 10 and 22. See abstract, column 1, lines 49-59, column 2, lines 49-55, and Figure 1. The polymeric material is of the type contemplated by applicants in claims 2 and 3, such as polyethylene, and the secondary component can be a sheath bonded with and surrounding the primary component, as required by claim 4. See column 1, lines 60-65 and column 2, lines 24-26. DeAngelis does not specifically teach that the conductive fibers are carbon nanotubes in an amount of up to .5% of the multi-component yarn.

Art Unit: 1774

Patel teaches conductive polymer compositions comprising polymeric resins and electrically conductive filler materials. These filler materials can be carbon fibers such as carbon nanotubes wherein the nanotubes can be used in amounts as low as 0.025 wt%. Shibuta teaches an electrically conductive polymer composition comprising carbon nanotubes, white pigment and polymer, wherein the carbon nanotubes are added in an amount of at least 0.01 wt% and less than 2 wt%. In addition, Shibuta teaches that his conductive polymer composition can be used to form moldings including electrically conductive filaments, and conjugate fibers (per claim 5). See column abstract, column 1, lines 7-9, column 2, lines 13-23, column 6, lines 9-14, column 8, lines 2-8 and lines 33-45. While Patel does not specifically teach the formation of fibers from his composition, the teachings of Shibuta clearly demonstrate that it was known in the art at the time the invention was made that conductive polymer compositions are used in the formation of molded articles including electrically conductive filaments. In addition, the teachings of Patel and Shibuta clearly teach that the formation of conductive polymers using a blend of a polymer component and carbon nanotubes was known in the art at the time of the invention thereof, wherein lowered amounts of conductive filler was possible when using carbon nanotubes. Therefore, the teachings of Patel and Shibuta would have provided motivation to the skilled artisan at the time the invention was made, to modify the teachings of DeAngelis by using carbon nanotubes instead of carbon black as the conductive filler, with the reasonable expectation of obtaining a conductive polymeric composition and fiber with minimal filler loading without sacrificing the mechanical properties of the resultant fiber as well as

minimizing the degree of blackening in the polymer composition. Moreover, there is no clear factual evidence on this record of superior or unexpected properties of the instant claimed yarn from that of the prior art.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 10 and 22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

No claims are allowed.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill M. Gray whose telephone number is 571-272-1524. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th and alternate Fridays 10:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/767,668 Page 5

Art Unit: 1774

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1774

jmg